United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,693	08/20/2003	Jeffrey L. Anderson	AAIR-1-1005	6718	
25315 75	590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC			LE, UYEN CHAU N		
701 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 4800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE, WA	A 98104	,	2876	2876	
			D. TT. M. H. P.D. 00/00/200	_	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/644,693	ANDERSON, JEFFREY L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
·		Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876			
The MAILING DAT	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified a - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION. able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. hove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply d above, the maximum statutory period wextended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on 22 Au	<u>igust 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is FINA	` '	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-11,13-18,20,21,23,24 and 27-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,9-11,13-18,20,21,23,24 and 27-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		_				
	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Requesting Continued Examination (RCE)

Receipt is acknowledged of the Requesting Continued Examination (RCE) field
 August 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 10 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pugliese, III (US 6,044,353) in view of Yamazaki (US 5,793,693).

Re claims 1 and 27-32: Pugliese III discloses a method for checking a passenger and baggage into an airline flight, comprising: instructing the passenger to obtain a boarding pass at a first location 30 inside an airport terminal (fig. 1; col. 7, lines 1-6 and col. 11, lines 17-37), providing the passenger with the boarding pass (col. 7, lines 15-22), the boarding pass containing information associated with the passenger (i.e., the identification (ID) number) (col. 10, lines 25-45); instructing the passenger to present the boarding pass at a second location 35 inside the airport terminal (fig. 1), the second location 35 being separated from but in view of the first location (fig. 1); the second location 35 further being adjacent a baggage drop conveyor 25; and checking the

baggage in accordance with information obtained from the boarding pass (i.e., passenger's information).

Pugliese, III is silent with respect to the boarding pass also includes the number of bags that are to be checked.

Yamazaki teaches a user 31 obtains a boarding pass at a first location (i.e., a travel agency), which includes a number of baggage (col. 12, lines 1-14), at the baggage check in terminal 7 (i.e., counter unit 2 and baggage reception unit 4) or second place, the user does not have to input anything if there is no change from the number of baggage (col. 12, lines 29-64).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the baggage information of Yamazaki into the boarding pass as taught by Pugliese, III in order to provide Pugliese, III with a time consumption system wherein the passenger does not have to enter the number of baggage into the ABM for obtaining baggage labels, thus eliminating long waiting line, and therefore an obvious expedient.

Re claim 10: Pugliese, III discloses a system for checking a passenger and baggage into an airline flight, comprising: a server/central reservation system 230 coupled to a database/storage 66 containing stored passenger and flight information (col. 10, lines 20-45); a plurality of boarding pass station 30 comprising a client computer configured for communication with the server 10, the client computer having a processor/central computer 10, a display, and an associated printer (i.e., for printing a boarding pass), the processor executing program instructions to request itinerary

information from the passenger, retrieve information from the database, and cause the printer to print a boarding pass (col. 7, lines 3-6 and lines 16-22); and a baggage drop station 35 located separate from the boarding pass station 30, the baggage drop station 15 comprising a central conveyor 17 having an origination end and a destination end and configured to convey baggage in a direction from the origination end toward the destination end (figs. 1 and 5); and a baggage drop computer located at the baggage drop station 35 and configured for communication with the server 10; the baggage drop computer having a processor 210, a display 111, and an associated printer (fig. 6; col. 6, lines 55-59; col. 10, lines 9-19).

Pugliese, III also discloses that after all baggage have been checked in and the passenger boards the aircraft for the flight, a comparison of record is made between passengers who check baggage and those who board the flight (col. 12, lines 36-49), but is silent with respect to the processor executing program instructions to receive and interpret images scanned from the boarding pass and to allow baggage to be checked into the flight at the baggage drop station only if the passenger has already checked in.

Yamazaki teaches the baggage reception unit control gate 40 only open the gate allowing the user to check in the baggage after the user already checked in at counter 2 (i.e., only after the number of baggage is read from the boarding pass and an image of the user has been captured by camera 36) (col. 4, lines 56-58 and col. 13, lines 44-58).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Yamazaki into the system as taught by Pugliese, III in order to provide Pugliese, III with the ability to prevent the baggage of

Application/Control Number: 10/644,693

Art Unit: 2876

unidentified passengers from being transported into the aircraft, and thus providing a more secure system in the terrorism event.

4. Claims 2,3, 5-7, 9, 11 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pugliese, III as modified by Yamazaki as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Barclay (US 6,158,658). The teachings of Pugliese, III as modified by Yamazaki have been discussed above.

Re claims 2 and 3: Pugliese, III/Yamazaki have been discussed above but fail to teach or fairly suggest that the information contained on the boarding pass is printed on the boarding pass in the form of a barcode; scanning the boarding pass at the second location to retrieve the information; respectively.

Barclay teaches the information contained on the boarding pass is printed on the boarding pass in the form of a barcode 212, which is the same with the barcode 212 on the PassPro card 210 that is being scanned at the baggage check-in location by a barcode scanner 122 to retrieve information (figs. 4 and 6-7; col. 3, lines 52-65).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Barclay into the system as taught by Pugliese, III/Yamazaki in order to provide Pugliese, III/Yamazaki with a less time consumption system in which the passenger's information can be retrieved readily upon scanning the barcode. Furthermore, such modification would provide Pugliese, III/Yamazaki with a more secure system preventing the boarding pass from being copied, duplicated and/or modified due to the barcode property (i.e., the barcode is difficult to copy) in the fraudulent event.

Re claims 5-7, 9, 11 and 13-18: wherein the second location further comprises a central conveyor 17 and the baggage drop conveyor 25 has a first end and a second end, wherein the first end of the baggage drop conveyor is adjacent the central conveyor 17 (Pugliese, III: fig. 1; col. 7, lines 6-14); the passenger placing the baggage on the baggage drop conveyor substantially at the second end of the baggage drop conveyor (Pugliese, III: fig. 1); wherein the baggage drop conveyor comprises a scale 129 and the method further comprises the step of weighing the baggage with the scale 129 (Pugliese, III: col. 9, lines 55-64); wherein the first location comprises an electronic kiosk (i.e., ATM 30) (Pugliese, III: fig. 1; col. 7, lines 3-6); wherein the boarding pass includes a bar code 212 containing one or more of itinerary information, a number of bags to be checked, or a code associated with a record stored in the database (Barclay: figs6-7; col. 3, line 60 through col. 4, line 35).

5. Claims 20-21 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pugliese, III as modified by Yamazaki as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Goheen (US 5,724,520). The teachings of Pugliese, III as modified by Yamazaki have been discussed above.

Re claims 20, 21 and 23-24: Pugliese, III/Yamazaki have been discussed above and further discloses one or more photocell [121, 124] and lamps [120, 123] serve as sensors associated with the central conveyor 105 and configured to detect the presence of an item on a portion of the central conveyor (Pugliese, III: fig. 5; col. 9, lines 23-54), but is silent with respect to one or more signs directing the passenger to proceed to one or more of the kiosks before proceeding to the baggage drop station.

Goheen teaches a screen of an ATM 12 in the airport is provided with directions and information concerning the flight where to go for baggage check-in, what gate to go to for the flight itself, etc. (col. 5, lines 39-50).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Goheen into the system as taught by Pugliese, III/Yamazaki in order to provide passengers with a friendly guiding system that guides the passenger to a desired location (e.g., ticket counter, check-in counter, baggage check-in station, etc.), preventing first time traveler, who is not familiar with the check-in system/process at the airport, from being desperate in finding the way.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-11, 13-18, 20-21 and 23-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen-Chau N. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2397. The examiner can normally be reached on First Monday 5:30AM-1:30PM and Tues-Fri. 5:30AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uyen-Chau N. Le

UchawLe

Page 8

Examiner Art Unit 2876

September 5, 2005